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Abstract: Depression in the United States (US) is increasing across all races and ethnicities and is attributed to multiple social determinants of health (SDOH). For members of historically marginalized races and ethnicities, depression is often underreported and undertreated, and can present as more severe. Limited research explores multiple SDOH and depression among African American adults in the US. Guided by Healthy People (HP) 2030, and using cross-disciplinary mental health terminology, we conducted a comprehensive search to capture studies specific to African American adults in the US published after 2016. We applied known scoping review methodology and followed Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) guidelines. From 12,315 initial results, 60 studies were included in our final sample. Most studies explored the HP 2030 Social and Community Context domain, with a heavy focus on discrimination and social support; no studies examined Health Care Access and Quality. Researchers typically utilized cross-sectional, secondary datasets; no qualitative studies were included. We recommend research that comprehensively examines mental health risk and protective factors over the life course within, not just between, populations to inform tailored health promotion and public policy interventions for improving SDOH and reducing racial and ethnic health disparities.
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1. Introduction

Depression, the common reference for a group of depressive disorders [1], is one of the leading global causes of disability [2]. Depression is a major risk factor for suicide [1,3] and poses a serious individual health burden with ripple effects into the community. The prevalence of depression and suicidal ideation among adults in the United States (US) has been steadily increasing [4]. While depression prevalence rates appear relatively similar across races and ethnicities, research suggests greater persistence of mental illness and reduced treatment usage for persons identifying as members of minoritized racial and ethnic groups [5]. Recent data indicate that approximately 17–
21% of US adults reported symptoms of depression; approximately 19% of those identifying as non-Hispanic Black reported experiencing symptoms of depression, with approximately 7% reporting moderate to severe symptoms [6]. National reports of depression further increased in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic. Between 23 April 2020 and 10 January 2022, rates of reported symptoms of depression for non-Hispanic Black participants climbed from 25.6% to a peak of 35.2% in early December 2020 before declining toward initial levels [7].

Healthy People 2030 (HP 2030) aims to ensure “healthy, thriving lives and well-being free of preventable disease, disability, injury, and premature death” and acknowledges the need to achieve health equity through structural and behavioral intervention [8]. HP 2030 defines social determinants of health (SDOH) as, “the conditions in the environments where people are born, live, learn, work, play, worship, and age that affect a wide range of health, functioning, and quality-of-life outcomes and risks”. These are categorized into five domains: Economic Stability, Education Access and Quality, Health Care Access and Quality, Neighborhood and Built Environment, and Social and Community Context. Within these domains are several potential risk and protective factors for mental health outcomes relating to access, quality, and safety of material, social, educational, occupational, civic, and health-related resources [9]. For African Americans, the effects of institutional racism across each of these domains increase the likelihood of psychological distress resulting from inadequate or deleterious conditions in all environments experienced throughout the lifespan. Some basic examples of institutional racism include residential segregation and environmental hazards, discriminatory hiring or policing practices, and reduced access to opportunities and resources in which to build economic capital [10]. Coupled with racial bias and discrimination on a societal scale, the increased stress burden across domains compounds to create unfavorable conditions for mental health.

Comprehensive literature on the influence of SDOH of health on African American mental health, and specifically depression, in the US is somewhat limited. Categorically, several reviews focused on the impact of racism on mental health outcomes among African Americans. Pieterse et al. [11] and Williams and William-Morris [12] focused on mental health more broadly, while additional reviews focused on the association between discrimination and depressive symptoms in Black men [13] and the association between depressive symptoms and perceived discrimination [14]. Further, Watkins et al. [15], Ward and Mengesha [16], and Plowden et al. [17] discussed risk factors for depression in African American men, including several SDOH, and more recent studies have highlighted the negative impact of police encounters [18] and community violence on African American male mental health [19]. A different perspective from Reed et al. [20] explored social work research on SDOH as protective factors regarding African American suicide.

Plowden et al. [17] also postulated depression in African American men may be higher than previously estimated due to hesitancy in treatment seeking and differential symptom presentation. Their research suggests that societal expectations of Black men influence mental health treatment seeking, whereas social and community support may serve as facilitators. Reed et al. [20] echoed this sentiment regarding suicide mitigation, suggesting that social support from family, peers, and the religious community may also mitigate negative effects of perceived racism on African American mental health [11]. This is paramount as greater perceived racism is associated with greater depressive symptoms in African American men [13].

Recognition and assessment of the unique and nuanced social determinants of mental health for African Americans are crucial for effective prevention, diagnosis, and treatment to reduce racial mental health disparities and improve psychological well-being for all. While these studies catalogue important risk and protective factors for African American mental health, to our knowledge, ours is the first scoping review to
survey the full field of study on social determinants of depression and depressive symptoms among African Americans in the United States, guided by HP 2030.

2. Materials and Methods

Following the methodological framework developed by Arksey and O’Malley [21], and expanded upon by Levac et al. [22], we sought to explore the field of study on the social determinants of mental health specific to the adult African American population in the United States. Guided by the research question, “What social determinants of health are researchers studying in relationship to mental health outcomes among African American adults?”, we developed a search strategy to gather a wide selection of peer-reviewed literature examining our key concepts, inclusive of a variety of study types and disciplines, to assess the volume and scope of this literature.

2.1. Search Strategy and Selection Criteria

In consultation with a health sciences librarian, two team members developed a comprehensive search strategy through an iterative process, beginning with a basic draft of search terms for each of our five concepts: (1) social determinants of health, (2) mental health, (3) African Americans, (4) adults, and (5) United States. The librarian and one team member conducted a series of pilot searches in February 2021 to ensure the search results captured the desired concepts. Given the overlap between SDOH with physical and mental health outcomes, some terms were narrowed for relevance to mental health specifically.

The concept of SDOH began with an inventory of key indicators within the five domains of SDOH as outlined in Healthy People 2030 [8]. To ensure concept integrity, these indicators were compared to the SDOH “Z Codes” (Z55-65) in the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Edition (ICD-10) [23], which is used within health systems to document the presence of a variety of psychosocial/economic patient factors. With a comprehensive list of search terms, we matched each to relevant controlled vocabulary and related keyword terms for each database.

The concept of mental health began with a list of broad terms used within the fields of social work, psychology, psychiatry, and nursing (e.g., “mental health”, “behavioral health”, and “well-being”), and some common terms for mental health conditions with high prevalence in the United States, particularly in relationship to SDOH (e.g., “depression” and “anxiety”). These terms were then matched to relevant controlled vocabulary and correlated keyword terms for each database. While some indexed headings were exclusive to clinical nomenclature (e.g., “Mental Disorders” [MeSH]), we did not limit keyword terms to formal diagnoses to account for a range of mental health outcomes, including subclinical or self-described symptomology.

The African American concept largely focused on “race”, with exclusion of studies examining ethnically diverse samples. Despite the limitations of using monolithic constructs of “race”, we chose to focus our sample on studies with samples identifying as having African ancestry or identifying as Black with no other ethnic variation. Since our aims were to survey the field and not necessarily to compare findings, we sought to hone our selection criteria for race and ethnicity to capture as many studies documenting African American experiences with SDOH as possible, while also acknowledging variation in how people self-identify.

One team member conducted a full search in PubMed, PsycINFO, and CINAHL on 26 March 2021. Given the sweeping changes to American life and the disparate socio-cultural-economic and health and mental health impacts of COVID-19 on minoritized populations, the increased media coverage of police brutality toward Black and African American individuals, and the proliferation of prejudiced rhetoric in US politics and on social media, we elected to focus our search on the past five years for timeliness and relevance. Since our search was carried out in early 2021, we also included studies...
published up until our March 2021 search date, which constituted an additional portion of a sixth year. Studies were eligible if they were (i) peer-reviewed, (ii) empirical articles, (iii) written in English language, (iv) conducted in the United States, (v) published between 2016 and 2021, and (vi) indicated a relationship between one or more SDOH and one or more mental health outcomes. The full search strategy can be found in Appendix B.

2.2. Data Extraction and Analysis

Once each search was complete, abstracts ($n = 12,315$) were uploaded into EndNote [24] and duplicates ($n = 3343$) were removed. The remaining unique abstracts ($n = 8880$) were then uploaded into the Covidence online content manager [25], and one team member conducted an initial review of title/abstract screening to remove irrelevant articles based on study type, sample population, publication year, and language written, resulting in a sample size of 6124. Our full team was then randomly assigned an equal number of abstracts for review. Assignments were made so that each abstract was reviewed by a blind pair for inclusion using a “yes”, “no”, or “maybe” vote. Two team members developed an inclusion/exclusion rubric to aid efficiency and quality of review, and this rubric was modified through an iterative process with input from the full team for clarity. One team member served as tiebreaker. Given the large number of studies meeting criteria for inclusion in the title/abstract screening phase ($n = 698$), and the increasing prevalence of depression within the US African American and non-Hispanic Black population, the team agreed to narrow selection criteria to exclude (i) studies focused on substance use outcomes, (ii) studies including a multi-racial sample, (iii) studies specific to a medical cohort (e.g., participants with HIV, diabetes, and cancer), and (iv) studies where depression was not a mental health outcome. Two team members were charged with reducing studies based on these criteria through title/abstract screening in Covidence. The resulting sample of studies ($n = 121$) was then randomly divided among all team members for final review and data abstraction utilizing the full article text. Abstraction questions were initially developed by one team member and refined by the full team through an iterative process. Data abstraction from this sample of articles was then conducted using Qualtrics [26]. Chart data were exported into Excel [27] and reviewed by four team members for accuracy. During this process, an additional 61 studies were eliminated, for a final sample size of 60 studies. The full PRISMA chart of results is presented in Figure 1. Descriptive statistics (e.g., frequencies and percentages) were used to examine the abstracted data (Table 1) and summaries of these findings by SDOH domain were compiled by five authors. Three authors summarized relevant study findings associated with depression/depressive symptoms for each study for presentation in the full sample table (Appendix A).
Figure 1. Search and Selection Process.

Table 1. Social Determinants of Health Studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Number of Constructs</th>
<th>Percent of Total Constructs</th>
<th>Number of Studies</th>
<th>Percent of Total Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economic Stability</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>economic hardship/pressure</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>employment status</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subjective social status</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>income/poverty level</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>socioeconomic status (SES)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>childhood SES</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education Access and Quality</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>educational attainment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood and Built Environment</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neighborhood disorder</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neighborhood cohesion/participation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intimate partner violence</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neighborhood violence</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neighborhood vigilance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neighborhood income</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>community racism</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social and Community Context</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>78.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Results

3.1. Sample Characteristics

Search results from 2016 to 2020 ranged from 2120–2565, while search results from 1 January to 26 March 2021 produced 2366 results. The majority of studies examined only depression or depressive symptoms (n = 36), while 40% (n = 24) of studies also examined another mental health outcome. The ages of participants in the included studies ranged from 18 to 100 years, with an overall mean age of 36.3 years. Of the 60 studies, 25% employed samples consisting entirely of female participants, while 18% employed samples consisting entirely of male participants. Across all 60 studies, the percentage of female participants was 58.5%. The percentage of participants reporting as African American, African American/Black, or Black was 65%, 23.3% and 11.7%, respectively. Of the 60 studies included in this review, 30% employed samples drawn from urban areas, such as large metropolitan centers like Houston, Atlanta, and Chicago. In 12% of studies, samples consisted of college students or of pregnant/new/current mothers, and 5% of studies employed samples consisting of church members.

3.2. Datasets and Depression Measures

The majority of studies in this review utilized secondary data (n = 34, 56.7%). Most were cross-sectional studies (n = 49, 81.7%), with fewer presenting longitudinal data (n = 11, 18.3%). Many studies shared results from unnamed author-developed datasets (n = 18, 30.0%) followed by use of the National Survey of American Life (NSAL, 2001–2003; n = 11, 18.3%). Three datasets were employed in two studies each (3.3%)—Creating a Higher Understanding of Cancer Research and Community Health (CHU-RCH, 2012–2013), Nashville Stress and Health Study (NSAHS, 2011–2014), and Religion and Health in African Americans (RHIAA), and twenty-five (25) datasets were used in one study each (1.7%). Most studies focused on depressive symptoms (n = 53, 88.3%). One study (1.7%) used the term depression on its own, and one (1.7%) examined both depression and depressive symptoms. The remainder (n = 5, 8.3%) examined major depressive disorder or major depressive episode and its connection to SDOH. The majority of studies used established measures, such as the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression (CES-D) Scale (n = 37, 61.7%).

3.3. Social Determinants of Health

Studies in our sample examined SDOH from four of the five HP 2030 domains: Economic Stability (n = 15, 25.0%), Education Access and Quality (n = 10, 16.67%), Neighborhood and Built Environment (n = 13, 21.7%), and Social and Community Context (n = 47, 78.3%). No studies in the final sample examined a relationship between depression and SDOH listed under the Health Care Access and Quality domain. Across all 60 studies, 108 individual determinants were measured. The majority of studies (n = 41, 68.3%) examined SDOH from a singular domain, while 23.3% explored SDOH from two
domains, 6.7% examined SDOH from three domains, and one study (1.7%) examined at least one social determinant from all four domains. See Table 1 for SDOH constructs by domain and Appendix A for full study sample data, including outcome summaries.

3.3.1. Economic Stability
The Economic Stability domain comprised 18.5% of the total SDOH studied in our sample. The 20 constructs studied spanned 15 studies (25%) and are categorized as follows: economic hardship/pressure (9 constructs), employment status (4 constructs), subjective social status (2 constructs), income/poverty level (3 constructs), socioeconomic status (SES), and childhood SES. Economic hardship/pressure was the most studied category of the five categories found and was further categorized as follows: financial difficulties (2 constructs), economic strain, economic pressure, financial resources, financial status, financial strain, material hardship, and perceived financial strain. These categories were measured using eight author-developed measures and one scale, the MacArthur Scholar of Subjective Social Status. Of the 15 studies focusing on Economic Stability, 13 studies also examined determinants from one or more additional domains: Education Access and Quality (n = 7), Social and Community Context (n = 11), Neighborhood and Built Environment (n = 3).

3.3.2. Education Access and Quality
Ten studies (16.7%) fell under the Education Access and Quality domain, examining the association between educational attainment and depression or depressive symptoms. The SDOH in this domain comprised approximately 9.3% of the total SDOH studied in our sample and were all associated with educational attainment. These constructs were measured using either categorical scales (n = 5) or interval scales (n = 5) describing level of education. Of those 10 studies, six also examined Economic Stability determinants, four also examined Social and Community Context determinants, and two also examined Neighborhood and Built Environment determinants.

3.3.3. Neighborhood and Built Environment
The Neighborhood and Built Environment domain comprised 16.7% of the total SDOH studied, with 18 total constructs spanning 13 studies. These SDOH were further categorized as: neighborhood disorder (7 constructs), neighborhood cohesion/participation (4 constructs), intimate partner violence (3 constructs), neighborhood vigilance, neighborhood income, and community racism. The neighborhood disorder category was composed of the following author-defined determinants: perceived neighborhood conditions (2 constructs), neighborhood problems (2 constructs), neighborhood disorder, social disorder, and residential environment. These determinants were measured by several composite scales including the Neighborhood Assessment Scale, the Perceived Neighborhood Disorder Scale, and five author-developed scales assessing a variety of neighborhood factors: transportation, quality of schools, police protection and tension, safety (crime/violence), drug use and dealing, walking environment, park access, healthy food availability, social disorder, vacant/deserted buildings, litter, vandalism, and noise, and neighborhood trust/willingness to help. Six studies examined this domain alone, while seven studies also examined SDOH within the Economic Stability (n = 4), Education Access and Quality (n = 1), and Social and Community Context (n = 6) domains.

3.3.4. Social and Community Context
The Social and Community Context domain comprised 56.5% of the total SDOH studied in our sample. These 61 constructs spanned 47 studies and are categorized as follows: discrimination (30 constructs), social support (24 constructs), incarce-
tion/criminal justice contact (4 constructs), negative police encounters (2 constructs), and living arrangement.

Discrimination was further categorized as follows: everyday discrimination ($n = 17, 56.67\%$), composite measure ($n = 5, 16.7\%$), early life discrimination ($n = 3, 10.0\%$), past/lifetime discrimination ($n = 3, 10.0\%$), adult discrimination ($n = 1, 3.3\%$), and sexual-racial discrimination ($n = 1, 3.3\%$). These constructs were studied via the following measures: Everyday Discrimination Scale ($n = 10$) and Lifetime Discrimination Scales ($n = 1$); Perceived Experiences of Discrimination scale ($n = 2$); Racism and Life Experiences (RLE) scale ($n = 2$), Daily Life Experience subscale ($n = 4$), Experiences of Discrimination subscale ($n = 2$), and Major Discrimination subscale ($n = 1$); the Schedule of Racist Events ($n = 1$) and General Ethnic Discrimination subscale ($n = 1$); 10-item subset of the National Survey of American Life survey ($n = 1$); Day-to-Day Unfair Treatment Scale ($n = 1$); Perceived Ethnic Discrimination Questionnaire–Community Version ($n = 1$); author-developed questions ($n = 3$); other ($n = 1$). Approximately 81\% ($n = 22$) of studies reported a direct positive association between discrimination and depression/depressive symptoms, and 9\% ($n = 5$) of studies reported mixed results.

Social support was the second most frequently studied category (24 constructs), and was author defined as follows: social support (11 constructs), perceived social support (3 constructs), support from family (2 constructs), religious social support (2 constructs), conflict with partner, family involvement, frequency of social contact, interpersonal relationship stress, relationship quality, and social resources. Social support was measured via 12 author-developed instruments, and Medical Outcomes Study Social Support survey ($n = 3$), Arizona Social Support Interview Schedule, Interpersonal Support Evaluation List, modified Perceived Social Support Scale, modified Social Network List, Multi-dimensional Measurement of Religiousness/Spirituality for use in Health Research, National Survey of American Life subscale, Older Americans Resources and Services Assessment, Provisions of Social Relations scale, and Social Support Behaviors Scale.

Thirty-three ($n = 33$) studies only explored this domain, while 10 studies also examined Economic Stability determinants, six studies also examined Neighborhood and Built Environment determinants, and five studies also examined Education Access and Quality determinants.

4. Discussion

This is the first scoping review to examine in depth how SDOH, as categorized by HP 2030, are being studied in relation to depressive symptoms and depression outcomes among African American adults in the US. The larger proportion of young adults in our full sample is consistent with increasing and higher rates of depression among adults 18–29 years old. Depression rates are lowest among those 30–44 years old, while somewhat higher for those 45 years and older [6,28]. In addition, the slight majority female composition of our full sample is consistent with higher rates of depression for women in the US at all levels of symptom severity [7].

4.1. Social Determinants of Health

Most studies examined the relationship between depression and SDOH under the HP 2030 Social and Community Context domain. No studies in the final sample examined a relationship between depression and SDOH within the Health Care Access and Quality domain.

4.1.1. Economic Stability

Only 4 of the 14 studies involving SDOH under this domain focused solely on Economic Stability, suggesting that researchers often consider socioeconomic factors in conjunction with other SDOH. This domain was stratified by the subcategories economic hardship/pressure, employment status, subjective social status, income/poverty level,
and childhood SES. Economic hardship/pressure was the most studied subcategory and was mostly measured by individuals’ self-reported ability to meet their basic needs on a regular basis. This dimension of Economic Stability reflects perceived current financial strain, which objective ordinal and ratio measures do not convey, and may better predict increased risk of depression [29]. For example, while income and household poverty level are also associated with depression [29,30], causation is less clear, and these indicators may be offset by federal assistance programs that improve ability to meet basic needs. Additionally, persons with a higher income or improved position in relation to poverty level may experience greater perceived economic hardship/pressure depending on their costs of living, debt, and lifestyle preferences. While nuances of causation vary or are unclear, research demonstrates an association between employment status and depression [31,32] and between childhood SES and adult depression [33,34]. Research on subjective social status is mixed, however, and may not as strongly predict depression for African Americans [35]. Discrimination and other systemic factors may reduce incremental benefits of gains in socioeconomic mobility [35–37]. All factors considered, Economic Stability is one of the most influential social determinants of health and mental health across races and ethnicities [38,39] and is of importance regarding the African American and Black experience due to the historic wealth and wage gap and high levels of poverty and unemployment resulting from structural racism [39,40].

4.1.2. Education Access and Quality

Education Access and Quality was the least studied domain, with 15% of our total sample examining the association between educational attainment and depression or depressive symptoms; only one study examined educational attainment as the sole determinant, exploring the differential impact of educational attainment on depressive symptoms for men and women [41]. Educational attainment is often considered an indicator of SES, but research demonstrates an individual association between education level and depression [42–44]. Childhood SES also has a tremendous impact on educational attainment through a variety of pathways [45–47], and higher educational attainment is impacted by a variety of economic and social and community factors. It is not surprising that educational attainment was the only social determinant in our final sample related to the broader category of Education Access and Quality, as both education access (e.g., school choice, availability of early education, language assistance, admissions and affordability of higher education) and education quality (e.g., school resources, teacher-to-student ratios, special education services, teacher education level, college preparatory classes, and guidance counselors) impact educational attainment. There is a large body of research demonstrating the impact of systemic racism and residential segregation on quality of education, with obvious historical roots to inequitable access to education dating well beyond Plessy v. Ferguson and Jim Crow segregation [48–50]. More research is warranted on specific aspects of Education Access and Quality on African American adult mental health to determine if there are micro-effects within this domain, or if educational attainment is the sole variable for depression.

4.1.3. Neighborhood and Built Environment

The Neighborhood and Built Environment domain was the most diverse regarding author-defined constructs. This domain comprised 17% of total constructs studied, distributed across 13 studies, with more than half of studies focused solely on the Neighborhood and Built Environment domain. Neighborhood disorder was the most studied subcategory, followed by social cohesion. Many of the measurement constructs for neighborhood disorder, however, overlapped with those of SDOH in other subcategories, as disorder was treated as a composite construct. Research demonstrates an association between depression and both perceived neighborhood disorder and social cohesion across races and ethnicities [51,52]. Evidence is mixed for associations between the less frequently studied subcategories of perceived neighborhood safety (e.g., vigilance...
and violence) and neighborhood income and depression across races and ethnicities [52–55]. It is increasingly important to recognize the potential mental health impacts of neighborhood disorder for adults identifying as African American or Black, as redlining and other historical contributors to segregation have had a disparate impact on neighborhood choice and mobility [56]. Residential segregation has been associated with cumulative neighborhood disadvantages, which increase risks for negative health and mental health outcomes [57,58].

There were two SDOH studied in our sample that straddle both the Neighborhood and Built Environment and Social and Community Context domains: community racism and intimate partner violence (IPV). Community racism, measured in the original study in aggregate at the neighborhood level, could fall within the discrimination category, listed in HP 2030 under Social and Community Context. It is interesting, however, to consider neighborhood-specific racism a unique variable to be studied both individually and in the context of other measures of racial discrimination (everyday experiences, historical experiences, etc.). Additionally, IPV is only mentioned within the “Crime and Violence” literature summary for HP 2030, which suggests that this is a key issue within the Neighborhood and Built Environment domain. IPV occurs within a dyad relationship with direct, indirect, and intergenerational spillover effects on families, households, friends, neighbors, and community members; thus, this could be considered an important social and community construct, particularly in the context of social contagion [59]. Research suggests that neighborhood environment may influence the potential for IPV, however, through a variety of macro-, meso-, and exo-level pathways [60,61]. The term “community” is very broad, applied to geographic, political, cultural, and social groups, whereas “neighborhood” is specific to a residential area. The authors of this study have listed IPV under Neighborhood and Built Environment to remain consistent with the guiding principles of HP 2030, but argue this type of violence could also be situated within the Social and Community Context domain.

4.1.4. Social and Community Context

The vast majority of studies (77%) explored SDOH housed under this domain, and approximately 72% of these studies explored this domain alone. Discrimination (49.2%) and social support (39.3%) were the most studied subcategories, while five studies explored SDOH related to law enforcement, including incarceration, negative police encounters, and a composite criminal justice contact construct. One study examined the association between living arrangement and depressive symptoms within a population of economically disadvantaged older adults, using it as a proxy for potential social isolation and lack of social support or sense of belonging; however, we included this as a separate social determinant as those elements were not explicitly investigated [62].

It is not surprising that discrimination was the most studied SDOH in our sample, as discrimination has been tied to many negative health and mental health outcomes and is well represented in the literature [58]. More than half of our sample studying discrimination utilized measures of everyday discrimination, followed by those using a composite measure of various forms of discrimination, and measures assessing early life discrimination, past or lifetime discrimination, adult discrimination, and sexual-racial discrimination. Williams et al. [58] note many limitations in measuring discrimination (e.g., capturing chronicity, recurrence, severity, and duration, and traumatic vs. non-traumatic experiences) and recommend expanding the study of discrimination to better understand intersectionality and the cumulative impacts of layered experiences of discrimination across domains and contexts. Given the pervasive nature of discrimination, we argue discrimination should be considered a systemic factor that impacts all domains on institutional, interpersonal, and individual levels, and listing discrimination as a “key issue” within the HP 2030 Social and Community Context domain [63] is highly reductive. To approach equity in public health, research and policy must fully recognize the impacts of discrimination on individuals and communities at each level of
the ecological system [58,64,65]. Thus, it should also follow that HP 2030 include discriminatory and aggressive policing as a SDOH in addition to incarceration [66]. Evidenced by the Black Lives Matter movement, there has been public outcry over the differential treatment, mortality, and portrayal in media coverage of African American and Black victims of violence [67]. As previously mentioned, research demonstrates an association between police encounters and officer-involved shootings and negative mental health outcomes for those identifying as African American and Black [18,66,68,69]. Research evidence of these associations is backed by myriad anecdotal accounts in social and news media. Both studies in our sample examining contact with law enforcement found a positive association with depressive symptoms [70,71].

Social support was also frequently studied and was mostly measured via composite constructs of general social support (multiple sources), with other studies examining social support specific to family, frequency of social contact, conflict with a partner, and religious social support. Research demonstrates a strong association between perceived social support and mental health, particularly depression [72,73]. Social support confers tangible, emotional, and informational benefits that can influence psychological well-being, self-esteem, treatment seeking and adherence, and recovery [72,73]. While there may be wide individual variation in preferred sources of social support and relative influence of different sources of social support, research suggests that both family (and fictive kin) and congregational support are important sources within the African American social network [74,75]. Further, spirituality and religious involvement influence health and mental health [76–78] and should be considered a SDOH. With 78% of those identifying as African American or Black reporting religious affiliation, and 97% reporting belief in God or a higher power [79], this is a salient area of research regarding African American and Black mental health and well-being. Research has been conducted on spirituality/religious involvement and depression within this population [77,80,81]; however, we did not include these constructs in our study as they are not listed as HP 2030 SDOH.

4.1.5. Health Care Access and Quality

A lack of studies focused on Health Care Access and Quality suggests that insurance coverage for and access to mental health care services and resources may not be current priorities of research on social determinants of depression among African Americans. Indeed, HP 2030 guidance for this domain does not include any objectives specifically related to access to mental health services, other than those for drug and alcohol use disorders. Yet, previous studies have found that despite policies implemented in 2008 and 2010 to promote parity in benefits for mental and physical health services [82,83], insurance coverage for mental health disorders still lags far behind those for physical conditions [84]. This disparity is even more pronounced among individuals identifying as African American or Black who are less likely than White individuals to receive or initiate mental health care [85–87]. Racial differences in mental health care utilization may reflect poorer insurance coverage and access, cultural stigmatization of mental health disorders, mental health literacy, economic concerns, lack of racial and ethnic representation among providers, or several other factors [77,85,88]. Regardless of the causes, these persistent disparities warrant increased research attention in the Health Care Access and Quality domain to mental health services and outcomes, with specific attention to depression. In addition, racial and ethnic biases in health care serve to further deter help-seeking and perpetuate stigma and can lead to misdiagnosis and inappropriate or inadequate treatment [85,89,90]. This corrosion of mental health care quality presents a dangerous inequity for minoritized individuals and is of critical importance regarding the alarming rise in African American and Black youth suicide rates [91,92].
4.2. Limitations

This study has some limitations. Terminology related to both SDOH and mental health is highly variable, potentially leading to missed studies based on our search strategy. In addition, HP 2030 is not fully developed, so important studies with tangential but relevant concepts (e.g., “internalized racism”, “racial and ethnic identity and centrality”, “relational involvement”) were excluded based on lack of inclusion in the currently available HP 2030 literature. Studies may have been missed during the initial title/abstract screening phase due to vague abstracts lacking relevant keywords. Due to our focus on adults identifying as African American and non-Hispanic Black within the United States, we cannot comment on the scope of literature related to other racial and ethnic groups within or outside of the United States. The lack of results within the Health Care Access and Quality domain may be due to exclusion of studies exploring efficacy of or adherence to mental health treatment services, as we only included studies examining a direct relationship between a social determinant of health and depression/depressive symptoms. In addition, while access to service and quality of services are both SDOH, service use itself is a behavior and not SDOH.

Further, the authors of this study acknowledge our privilege and positionality as a research team and recognize the potential for bias in designing our study and selecting and interpreting results. Four authors on our research team identify as non-Hispanic White females, three authors identify as non-Hispanic White males, and one member identifies as an Asian male. We also acknowledge several factors regarding race and ethnicity that may have led to inaccuracies in our inclusion/exclusion process: (1) race is a social construct that is potentially variable within different social, cultural, and institutional contexts; (2) there is wide variation in how researchers collect racial and ethnic data; thus, race and ethnicity may be misattributed or disregarded in certain samples; (3) despite the importance of research regarding multiracial participants [93], there is wide variation in how researchers collect and report this information. We also excluded studies comparing races and ethnicities. While this type of research can highlight patterns in racial and ethnic health disparities, we viewed this scoping review as an opportunity to survey literature specific to African American and non-Hispanic Black adults. We also recognize that the social construction of race in the US inherently privileges and disadvantages individuals and groups based on the color of their skin. Thus, we argue studies should make an effort to include ethnically diverse samples and to explore both within-group and aggregate associations for a more comprehensive understanding of SDOH, systemic racism, and risk and protective factors for individuals and communities. Since embarking on this scoping review, guidance for reporting of race and ethnicity in journal articles has been published in the Journal of the American Medical Association and it is strongly recommended that authors be inclusive in reporting of demographics and provide a comprehensive list of categories, and include categories for participants who may identify with more than one race and ethnicity [93].

4.3. Implications and Future Directions

Although this review had limitations, it provides a comprehensive examination of the volume and scope of work examining SDOH and depression outcomes among African American adults. The volume of studies in our sample focused on discrimination (namely, racism) and social support demonstrate acknowledgement by researchers of the importance of these issues in relation to depression amongst African American adults. Mental health care providers typically include social support in assessment and treatment planning, and careful, non-assumptive consideration of individually preferred sources of support, inclusive of faith-based communities and fictive kin, is warranted. At the structural level, it is necessary for policymakers, health care administrators, workforce educators, and clinical providers to recognize systemic racism and denounce White supremacy of any form. All health and mental health practitioners should understand...
the potential impacts of racism and other forms of discrimination on patient mental health and convey receptivity to relevant patient-led discussions in assessment and intervention. In addition, it is critical mental health care providers of all disciplines examine personal biases, frequently evaluate their practice, and engage in cultural agility trainings to reduce the potential for discrimination in the mental health care encounter. Improving perceptions of mental health care across races and ethnicities and reducing stigma across disciplines is necessary to encourage prevention and intervention for historically under-treated populations [85,88].

Findings also demonstrated researcher attention to economic and neighborhood environment factors, while less attention in our sample to the Education Access and Quality domain could indicate an area of further study, particularly regarding literacy. In addition, both health and mental health literacy (listed by HP 2030 under Health Care Access and Quality) are of increasing importance and present an opportunity for intervention by all types of mental health care providers and at multiple levels of the health care system. For example, partnerships between academic researchers, public health practitioners, clinical providers and administrators, and community literacy centers can serve to improve the patient-provider encounter and facilitate patient referral for literacy supports [94]. Overall, accounting for the influences of SDOH on depression and other mental health sequelae is crucial for improved mental health outcomes, and should become standard practice in all health and mental health encounters. Increased adoption of ICD-10 “Z codes” within the health care system can result in more effective mental health treatment and better inform public health intervention and health care policy [23].

Moving forward, it will be important to expand this type of review to additional mental health outcomes and encourage scholars to assess intersectionality for a more nuanced understanding of SDOH, systemic racism, and risk and protective factors for diverse individuals and communities. While the studies included in this review used rigorous methodologies and representative data sources, they also examined the issues of depression/depressive symptoms and SDOH in very similar ways. We recognize the importance of national datasets in the study of mental health [95]; however, we suggest that there are additional methods that could be used to further understand this issue from other perspectives. For example, over half of the studies reviewed relied on pre-existing data sources, with several using the same national dataset, the National Survey of American Life, which ceased data collection in 2003, thirteen years before the publication date of our earliest studies. Studies also primarily utilized cross-sectional methodology, which does not account for the impact of SDOH on depression across the life course.

Studies that examine in depth the experiences of African Americans within their communities and/or at the neighborhood level would provide rich information about context and the linkage of the SDOH and depression outcomes examined in this study. Qualitative research may explore this; however, if there was not an explicit association between a SDOH under study and depression/depressive symptoms, then this research would not have met criteria for inclusion in our review. A community-level methodology that provides this perspective is photovoice—a methodology that uses photography as a tool to help individuals, especially individuals in populations that might otherwise not have a voice in policy development or decision-making, to document their lived experiences and ensure the research being done is meaningful for their communities. Through a participatory framework, the process promotes dialogue and issue selection with the goal of engaging social change and action. Through discussion about the stories behind the photographs, photovoice has the potential to promote critical dialogue about important community issues such as SDOH related to mental health outcomes [96] and may provide more meaningful information on how SDOH interact in reality. Studies utilizing community-engaged and community based participatory research practices may also foster openness among African American community members to engage in research that will increase the visibility of depression and other mental health issues.
with the potential to reduce stigma, increase mental health literacy, and promote help-seeking. A more community-driven approach to mental health research in African American communities can also inform how community leaders and members view the HP 2030 categories and classification of SDOH for purposes of practice and policy interventions. In addition, analysis of the HPS found individuals identifying as non-Hispanic Black reported acute socioeconomic stressors due to effects of the pandemic [7,97]. While the COVID-19 pandemic created wide-ranging global stressors across racial, ethnic, and socioeconomic groups, the acute, amplified effects of these stressors on a variety of mental health outcomes for historically marginalized groups should be examined [98].

5. Conclusions

This scoping review highlighted the reliance on secondary and cross-sectional research, and a heavy research focus on the relationship between depression and depressive symptoms and SDOH within the HP 2030 Social and Community Context domain, with specific attention to discrimination and social support. As racism and the residual effects of COVID-19 continue to dominate the national conversation on health equity, we recommend research that comprehensively examines mental health risk and protective factors within, and not just between, populations to allow tailored health promotion and public policy interventions to improve SDOH and reduce racial and ethnic health disparities in the US.
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## Appendix A

Table A1. Full Sample Attributes and Summaries of Relevant Findings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Author</th>
<th>Year Type of Study</th>
<th>Year Type of Study</th>
<th>Demographics (Race/Ethnicity, Female n/%, Age Range, Mean Age)</th>
<th>Other Demographic Characteristics</th>
<th>Social Determinants of Health</th>
<th>Summaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assari [41]</td>
<td>2018 Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA; 56%; 18+; 42.01</td>
<td>Demographics</td>
<td>Other Demographic Characteristics</td>
<td>Social Determinants of Health</td>
<td>Summaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>educational attainment</td>
<td>Greater educational attainment was associated with lower depressive symptoms for African American adults, but the effect differed between men and women. Educational attainment reduced depressive symptoms to a greater degree for women than men.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evans [62]</td>
<td>2020 Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA; 64.1%; 55+; 71.7</td>
<td>the sample came from Service Planning Area 6, one of the most economically disadvantaged urban areas in Los Angeles County as well as the highest percentage of AAAs (27.4%) of the SPAs in Los Angeles.</td>
<td>financial difficulties</td>
<td>Financial difficulty was positively correlated with depressive symptoms.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowleg [70]</td>
<td>2020 Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA, Black; 0%; 30.25</td>
<td>Washington DC</td>
<td>incarceration history, police encounters, police avoidance, employment status</td>
<td>Incarceration history had a significant indirect effect on depressive symptoms via negative police encounters and police avoidance. Those with a history of incarceration who were unemployed reported higher depressive symptoms due to higher police avoidance; however, unemployment did not mediate the indirect effect of police encounters on depressive symptoms.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archibald</td>
<td>2018 Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Black; 56%; 18+; 43.1</td>
<td>criminal justice</td>
<td>Criminal justice contact was significantly positively associated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[71]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander [99]</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA, Black; 100%; 18–24; 21.3</td>
<td>young, female, Baltimore MD</td>
<td>intimate partner violence</td>
<td>68.5% of women with IPV experiences reported symptoms indicative of depression relative to 28.1% of women without IPV. Adjusting for covariates, IPV remained significantly and independently associated with greater depression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amutah-Onukagha [100]</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Black; 100%; 20+; 42</td>
<td></td>
<td>poverty income ratio, education attainment</td>
<td>When controlling for other variables, Black women below 299% FPL were close to three times more likely to report major depressive disorder (MDD) symptoms compared to those above 300% FPL (OR = 2.82, 95% CI = 1.02, 7.96). Lower average MDD symptoms were found among those with higher levels of education.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assari [101]</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA, Black; 65.30%; 65+; 74</td>
<td>South Los Angeles; all had hypertension</td>
<td>economic strain, education attainment</td>
<td>Economic strain, but not educational attainment, was associated with depressive symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assari [102]</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA; 0%; 18+; 41.76</td>
<td>“high” socioeconomic status-AA men</td>
<td>income, employment status, education attainment, perceived discrimination</td>
<td>Perceived discrimination was a risk factor for a major depressive episode (MDE), regardless of covariates; however, high income was associated with an increased risk of a 12-month major depressive episode for African American men independent of perceived discrimination and other SES indicators.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assari [103]</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA; 0%; 18+; 41.76</td>
<td></td>
<td>incarceration, everyday discrimination</td>
<td>History of incarceration among African American men was associated with greater depressive symptoms. There was also a positive and significant association between discrimination and depressive symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin-Clark [104]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA, Black; 100%; 50+; community dwelling, female, at least 50 years</td>
<td>receiving support from family</td>
<td></td>
<td>Respondents who received help from relatives were 23.4% (odds ratio = 0.766) less likely to have felt depressed in the past year than people who had received no help from relatives. No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benca-Bachman [105]</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>59%; 22–92; 49.86</td>
<td>aging AA twins</td>
<td>social support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottomley [106]</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Longitudinal</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>89.4%; 19–71; 49.65</td>
<td>recruited from grassroots victim services organization with faith-based orientation in a large city in the mid-South</td>
<td>social support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks [107]</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>60.4%; 18–38; 21.41</td>
<td>Southwestern US university in introductory psychology class</td>
<td>perceived racial discrimination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bukowski [108]</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>100%; --; 30.9</td>
<td>Recruited from Black Pride events in 6 cities: Atlanta GA, Detroit MI, Houston TX, Memphis TN, Philadelphia PA, Washington DC</td>
<td>intimate partner violence, perceived social support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldwell [109]</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>50%; 18–54; --</td>
<td>expectant mother–father dyads; recruited through prenatal clinics at two local Midwestern sites</td>
<td>conflict with partner, social support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chae [110]</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0%; --; 43.8</td>
<td>Bay Area Heart Health Study – San Francisco</td>
<td>racial discrimination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Demographics</td>
<td>Variables</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisco Bay Area—2010</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>AA men</td>
<td>Racial discrimination and the probability of having higher depressive symptoms. Among AA men with implicit anti-Black bias, there was a negative relationship between reports of racial discrimination and the probability of having elevated depressive symptoms.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan [111]</td>
<td>2019 Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA; 79.92%; 18–48; 21.58</td>
<td>Eastern public university, racial discrimination, social support</td>
<td>Racial discrimination was significantly positively correlated with depressive symptoms. Family social support and friend social support were significantly negatively correlated with depressive symptoms.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chatters [112]</td>
<td>2018 Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA; 58.31%; 18–93; 43.1</td>
<td>Attendants of religious services living in the Southern US, social support from church members, social support from family members</td>
<td>Frequency of contact with church and family and emotional support from family were inversely associated with depressive symptoms. Negative church and family interactions were significantly positively associated with depressive symptoms. Emotional support from church was unrelated to depressive symptoms.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christie-Mizell [113]</td>
<td>2019 Longitudinal</td>
<td>AA; 51.69%; 40–50; --</td>
<td>Employment status</td>
<td>AA men who were employed only or married/cohabitating employed parents had significantly lower levels of depressive symptoms compared with AA women. Role combinations of married/cohabitating and employed and married/cohabitating employed parent led to lower probability of clinical depression for AA men compared with AA women.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark [114]</td>
<td>2018 Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA; 53%; 21–92; 56</td>
<td>Social capital</td>
<td>Social capital increased, levels of depressive symptomatology increased.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English [115]</td>
<td>2020 Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA, Black; 0%; 18–62; 30</td>
<td>Black gay, bisexual, and other sexual minority men and transgender women, living in</td>
<td>Any discrimination and sexual racial discrimination were positively associated with depressive symptoms. There was a significant indirect effect of racial discrimination on depressive symptoms through Black sexual exclusivity and sexual racial discrimination.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Atlanta, GA and Jackson, MS

Evans [116] 2019 Cross-sectional AA; 64.1%; 55+; 71.7 residents of Service Planning Area in Los Angeles, identified as one of the most economically disadvantaged urban areas in Los Angeles County, with the lowest median income ($36,400), the highest unemployment rate (13.6%), and the highest percentage of household incomes less than 100% of the FPL (33.6%) financial difficulties, educational attainment, living arrangement Financial difficulties were associated with depressive symptoms. Educational attainment and living arrangement were not associated with depressive symptoms.

Gayman [117] 2018 Cross-sectional AA; 0%; 18–86; 58.1 socioeconomic status, neighborhood income, daily discrimination, perceived social support Increase in neighborhood level income was associated with decreased depressive symptoms (SE = 0.00, p ≤ 0.01). Increased depressive symptoms were associated with higher levels of chronic stressors and daily discrimination, but were relatively lower among African American reporting more family support. Family support had the strongest correlation with depressive symptoms (β = −0.48, SE = 0.89, p ≤ 0.001) among assessed factors.

Goodwill [118] 2021 Cross-sectional AA; 0%; 18–93; 43.5 everyday discrimination (any, race-based, other) Only race-based everyday discrimination demonstrated a significant association with increased depressive symptoms.

Hart [119] 2021 Longitudinal Black; 50.4%; 21–83; participants were racial discrimina- Racial discrimination was positively associated with depressive
families participating in a family centered intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Race (%)</th>
<th>Type of Discrimination</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hawkins [120]</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA, Black</td>
<td>100%; 18–42; 26.9</td>
<td>pregnant women family involvement</td>
<td>Higher family involvement during pregnancy was associated with lower depressive symptoms among pregnant women.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heldreth [121]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA Black</td>
<td>100%; 18–40; 24.08</td>
<td>mothers 1 month postpartum</td>
<td>Direct and vicarious childhood racism experiences were each independently associated with greater postpartum depressive symptoms.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoggard [122]</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0%; 18+; 32.35</td>
<td>racial discrimination</td>
<td>Racial discrimination was significantly associated with depressive symptoms.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoggard [123]</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA; 59.3%; 18–27; 20.25</td>
<td>attending a large predominantly White public institution in the southeastern US</td>
<td>racial discrimination</td>
<td>Increased frequency of racial discrimination experiences was significantly associated with greater depressive symptomatology, but only for African Americans with mean or high levels of emotional eating.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holmes [124]</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA, Black</td>
<td>100%; --; 33.57</td>
<td>women (mothers) economic pressure, social support</td>
<td>Among Black female primary caregivers who receive Temporary Assistance for Needy Families, economic pressure was associated with maternal depression. Social support was associated with lower levels of maternal depression but did not attenuate the relationship between economic pressure and depression.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holt [125]</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Longitudinal</td>
<td>AA; 59.55%; --; 58.72</td>
<td>religious social support</td>
<td>Positive religious support was associated with lower depressive symptoms, while negative interaction predicted increases in depressive symptoms.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson [126]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA; 56.1%; 18–90; 42.5</td>
<td>racial discrimination</td>
<td>Increased levels of racial discrimination were positively related to depression ($p &lt; 0.001$), controlling for all sociodemographic factors.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Johnson Nicholson [127] | 2020 | Cross-sectional | AA; 66%; 60–90; 80 | older African American adults | childhood socioeconomic status, financial resources, social resources | For African American older adults, increased social resources were associated with lower depressive symptoms. Adult financial resources were negatively correlated with depressive symptoms ($r = -0.19, p < 0.05$) compared to financial resources during childhood, which were not significantly correlated with
Higher discrimination was associated with higher depression scores. For men, completing any college was a protective factor, mediating the effects of discrimination and depression. Higher education was inversely associated with depression scores for women, and college did not mediate the effects of discrimination on depression.

African Americans in the moderate-declining perceived racial discrimination trajectory (growth curve) reported the highest level of depressive symptoms (M = 0.443, SD = 0.579), followed by the high-stable trajectory (M = 0.475, SD = 0.529), low-rising trajectory (M = 0.443, SD = 0.606), and low-stable trajectory (M = 0.345, SD = 0.362). Depressive symptoms differed between members in the moderate-declining and low-stable trajectory.

Increased exposure to discrimination led to greater depression symptoms (b = 0.15, 95% C.I. [0.05, 0.24]). There was no affect between discrimination experience and cortisol levels observed through depressive symptoms (b = 0.09, 95% C.I. [−0.18, 0.50]).

There was a significant positive indirect effect of perceived racial discrimination on depressive symptoms via helplessness (p < 0.001). Overall, perceived racial discrimination was significantly associated with depression.

Emotional/informational support (p < 0.001), tangible support (p < 0.001) and positive social interactions (p < 0.046) were found to have a significant interaction between recent stressful life events and depressive symptoms; affectionate social support was not statistically significant in relation to recent stressful life events and depressive symptoms.

Lower subjective social status and perceived social support were associated with greater depressive symptoms.

Among young, socioeconomically disadvantaged AA mothers, perceived discrimination (racial and major discrimination sub-
vantaged mothers major discrimination) scales) is associated with higher reported symptoms of depression, and major discrimination subscale scores were significantly associated with higher initial depression symptoms. There were no significant changes in depression symptomology over time except in relation to age, which was associated with a higher depression score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Sample Characteristics</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mouzon [135]</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA; 59.26%; 55–93; 66.65</td>
<td>everyday discrimination</td>
<td>Racial and non-racial everyday discrimination were associated with higher depressive symptoms. Relative to older adults who perceived less overall everyday discrimination, those with higher levels of overall everyday discrimination also had elevated levels of depressive symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mugoya [136]</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA; 100%; 18–93; 38.9</td>
<td>intimate partner violence, educational attainment</td>
<td>Severe intimate partner violence was significantly associated with increased likelihood of depressive symptoms. Lower educational attainment and receipt of economic assistance were significantly associated with depressive symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nguyen [137]</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA; 55.97%; 18–93; 43.15</td>
<td>education level, social support</td>
<td>Subjective closeness with friends was negatively associated with MDD. Frequency of contact with friends was negatively associated with MDD among high education respondents but unrelated to MDD among low education respondents. Receipt and provision of support from friends were negatively associated with MDD among high education respondents but positively associated with MDD among low education respondents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nowak [138]</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA; 100%; 18–45; 27</td>
<td>new mothers Social disorder</td>
<td>Low levels of neighborhood social disorder during pregnancy were associated with higher levels of depressive symptoms for women who reported higher levels of childhood neighborhood social disorder (mean CES-D = 15.47), compared with women who reported lower levels of childhood neighborhood social disorder (mean CES-D = 13.99). Overall, high levels of neighborhood social disorder during pregnancy were associated with higher levels of depressive symptoms regardless of levels of childhood neighborhood social disorder, while low levels of reported neighborhood social disorder in both childhood and pregnancy were associated with the lowest levels of depressive symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Study Type</td>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>Study Sample</td>
<td>Measure 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ong and Burrow [139]</td>
<td>Longitudinal</td>
<td>AA; 76%; 22–52; 30 post-doctoral and doctoral students</td>
<td>daily racial discrimination</td>
<td>More frequent racial discrimination was associated with higher initial depressive symptoms; however, both increased negative affect and decreased positive affect (increased affective reactivity) to daily racial discrimination predicted elevated depressive symptoms independent of discrimination frequency, typical levels of daily negative affect and positive affect, and individual differences in stigma consciousness.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patterson [140]</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA; 100%; 18–93; 42.64 Never incarcerated AA women</td>
<td>familial incarceration</td>
<td>Across social role configurations, familial incarceration was associated with increased depressive symptoms (mean = 9.31; SD = 5.94; p &lt; 0.05). Among women with familial incarcerations, those that were “parent only” reported the highest levels of depressive symptoms, while “employed” women reported the lowest levels.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pickover [141]</td>
<td>Longitudinal</td>
<td>AA, Black; 100%; 18–56; 38.62 low income and IPV exposed</td>
<td>neighborhood disorder, social support</td>
<td>While demonstrating no independent significant effects, the interaction of neighborhood disorder and family social support were associated with higher levels of depression among female survivors of IPV. Higher levels of social support buffered against the negative effects of high neighborhood disorder, but lower levels of social support showed no significant association.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qin [142]</td>
<td>Longitudinal</td>
<td>AA; 61.1%; 65–100; 73</td>
<td>everyday discrimination, frequency of social contact, perceived social support</td>
<td>Significant association was found between everyday discrimination and depressive symptoms. Each unit of increase in discrimination score predicted 1.24 times the rate of depressive symptoms over time. No significant association was found between frequency of contact and perceived social support and depressive symptoms. Interaction between discrimination and perceived social support from friends as well as family achieved statistical significance in predicting depressive symptoms. For those who reported high levels of social support from friends and family, more frequent experiences of discrimination were associated with more depressive symptoms over time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell [143]</td>
<td>Longitudinal</td>
<td>AA; 100%; 25–80; 37</td>
<td>financial strain, community social disorder, community cohesion, Neighborhood racial discrimination was significantly related to the development of MDD, and predicted 21% of the variation in depression rates at the neighborhood level over time. Development of MDD was also significantly related to the level of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Study Design</td>
<td>Race</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sealy-Jefferson</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>100%; --</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tabet</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA, Black</td>
<td>59.12%; 59–74; --</td>
<td>483 residents of 483 neighborhood blocks in impoverished areas of Saint Louis, MO, or other suburban areas northwest of city</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamura</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>6419%; --</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Tobin and Moody</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>54.94%; 21–69; 43.52</td>
<td>residents of Nashville and surrounding areas within Davidson County, Tennessee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td>Age Range</td>
<td>% Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsuchiya [148]</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA; 0%; 22–63; 37.2</td>
<td>non-resident fathers located in two Midwestern industrial cities</td>
<td>perceived financial strain, employment, education, perceived neighborhood conditions, interpersonal relationship stress, social support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watson-Singleton [149]</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA, Black; 70%; 18–53; 20.99</td>
<td>students enrolled in a large, predominantly white, urban, university in the southeast</td>
<td>past racial and ethnic discrimination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weaver [150]</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA; 67.6%; 18–92; 45.9</td>
<td>residents in southern rural setting in family income, material hardship, educational attainment</td>
<td>Educational attainment was significantly associated with depressive symptoms, as fewer years of education correlated with greater depressive symptoms. Material hardship was also significantly associated with depressive symptoms, with incremental increases in material hardship correlating with a 1.1 factor increase in depressive symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheaton [151]</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA; 0%; 18+; --</td>
<td>residents of Nashville, TN, and surrounding metropolitan area</td>
<td>discrimination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>Predictors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams [152]</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Longitudinal</td>
<td>Black; 0%; --; --</td>
<td>freshman and sophomore students at public university in Mid-Atlantic</td>
<td>financial status, social support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu [153]</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA; 71.1%; --; 42.07</td>
<td>members of one church in Houston, TX</td>
<td>neighborhood problems, neighborhood vigilance, perceived racial discrimination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoon [154]</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>AA; 67.8%; 65–89; 74</td>
<td>perceived discrimination</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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